



# PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NH – 209, Sathy Road, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore – 641 035  
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

**UGC Recognized | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution**



## STAKEHOLDERS FILLED-IN FEEDBACK FORMS (SAMPLE) - STUDENTS



# PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NH – 209, Sathy Road, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore – 641 035  
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

**UGC Recognized | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution**



## STUDENTS FILLED-IN FEEDBACK FORMS (SAMPLE) – TEACHING & LEARNING



## FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name of the Department : AGRI

Semester : 08

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3- Average, 2- Fair, 1- Poor.

## Each Faculty Members Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Staff	Course	Teaching Effectiveness (Out of 20)				Maturity level (15)			Integrity (honest - 5 marks, Impartial - 5 marks, Fairness & Justice - 5 marks) (15)	Total (Out of 50)	Signature of faculty (After seeing the evaluation)
		Class room delivery whether by reading or interactive communication (use of analogies, examples, observations from surroundings, etc) (5)	Use of training aids like models, charts, video, animated computer graphics, presentations, effective board work, etc (5)	Involvement in internal assessment (whether casual or routine or involved marking with corrective remarks) (5)	Levels of preparedness (whether adequate prepared for class) (5)	Ease of Maintenance of order (without threats or punishments) (5)	Temperament (calmness, patience, irritability, etc) (5)	Intellectual stature commands respect of students by intellectual and maturity level (5)			
Mrs.D.Gomathi	Professional Ethics in Engineering	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	14	47	D.Gomathi
Mr.E.Ilanchezhiyan	Special Farm Equipment	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	14	45	E.Ilanchezhiyan
Mr S. Selvakumar	Project work	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	18	48	S.Selvakumar

Signature of the Student

Date: 03.05.2023

Signature of the Hod



## 1.4 FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name of the Department : CSE

Semester : 06

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3- Average, 2- Fair, 1- Poor.

## Each Faculty Members Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Staff	Course	Teaching Effectiveness (Out of 20)				Maturity level (15)			Integrity (honest - 5 marks, Impartial - 5 marks, Fairness & Justice - 5 marks) (15)	Total (Out of 50)	Signature of faculty (After seeing the evaluation)
		Class room delivery whether by reading or interactive communication (use of analogies, examples, observations from surroundings, etc) (5)	Use of training aids like models, charts, video, animated computer graphics, presentations, effective board work, etc (5)	Involvement in internal assessment (whether casual or routine or involved marking with corrective remarks) (5)	Levels of preparedness (whether adequate prepared for class) (5)	Ease of Maintenance of order (without threats or punishments) (5)	Temperament (calmness, patience, irritability, etc) (5)	Intellectual stature commands respect of students by intellectual and maturity level (5)			
Mrs.M.Anitha	Internet Programming	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	14	46	M.Anitha
Mr.P.Sivaprakash	Artificial Intelligence	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	12	45	P.Sivaprakash
Mrs.A.Jenefa	Mobile Computing	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	14	47	A.Jenefa
Mr.Nandhakumar.C	Compiler Design	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	15	45	C.Nandhakumar
Mr.Balaji Shanmugam.V	Distributed System	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	14	46	V.Shanmugam
Mr.P.Sivaprakash	Internet Programming Laboratory	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	15	47	P.Sivaprakash
Mrs.A.Jenefa	Mobile Application Development Laboratory	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	14	45	A.Jenefa
Mrs.J.Yamini	Mini Project	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	14	47	J.Yamini

Signature of the Student

Date: 04.05.2023.

Signature of the Hod



## FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name of the Department : MECH

Semester : 06

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

## Each Faculty Members Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Staff	Course	Teaching Effectiveness (Out of 20)				Maturity level (15)				Total (Out of 50)	Signature of faculty (After seeing the evaluation)
		Class room delivery whether by reading or interactive communication (use of analogies, examples, observations from surroundings, etc) (5)	Use of training aids like models, charts, video, animated computer graphics, presentations, effective board work, etc (5)	Involvement in internal assessment (whether casual or routine or involved marking with corrective remarks) (5)	Levels of preparedness (whether adequate prepared for class) (5)	Ease of Maintenance of order (without threats or punishments) (5)	Temperament (calmness, patience, irritability, etc) (5)	Intellectual stature commands respect of students by intellectual and maturity level (5)	Integrity (honest - 5 marks, Impartial - 5 marks, Fairness & Justice - 5 marks) (15)		
Mr.P.Ramesh	Design of Transmission System	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	14	44	
Mrs.D.Rajeswari	Finite Element Analysis	3	5	5	4	3	4	5	15	44	
Mr.A.Vigneshraj	Hydraulics and Pneumatics	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	14	47	
Mr.J.G.Vignesh	Heat and Mass Transfer	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	13	46	
Mr.J.Muthukumar	Computer Aided Design and Manufacturing	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	14	47	
Mr.Dinesh.S	Cad/Cam Lab	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	15	47	
Mrs.G.A.Umadevi	Design and Fabrication Project	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	13	46	
Mr.Shanker	Professional Communication	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	15	46	

Signature of the Student

Date: 02/05/2023.

Signature of the Hod



## FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name of the Department : ECE

Semester : 04

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

## Each Faculty Members Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Staff	Course	Teaching Effectiveness (Out of 20)				Maturity level (15)				Total (Out of 50)	Signature of faculty (After seeing the evaluation)
		Class room delivery whether by reading or interactive communication (use of analogies, examples, observations from surroundings, etc) (5)	Use of training aids like models, charts, video, animated computer graphics, presentations, effective board work, etc (5)	Involvement in internal assessment (whether casual or routine or involved marking with corrective remarks) (5)	Levels of preparedness (whether adequate prepared for class) (5)	Ease of Maintenance of order (without threats or punishments) (5)	Temperament (calmness, patience, irritability, etc) (5)	Intellectual stature commands respect of students by intellectual and maturity level (5)	Integrity (honest - 5 marks, Impartial - 5 marks, Fairness & Justice - 5 marks) (15)		
Ms Indhu V	Electromagnetic Fields	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	14	45	V.Indhu
Ms Mary Mettilda J	Networks and Security	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	13	45	Marymettilda
Mr Ashokkumar A	Digital Signal Processing	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	14	47	A.Ashokkumar
Dr V. Bindhu	Communication Systems	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	15	44	V. Bindhu
Mrs Nithya K	Linear Integrated Circuits	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	13	38	N. Nithya
Mr Prabhu V	Environmental Sciences and Sustainability	4	5	5	5	4	3	5	13	42	V. Prabhu
Ms Indhu V	Communication Systems Laboratory	5	3	4	5	5	5	4	15	46	V. Indhu
Mrs Nithya K	Linear Integrated Circuits Laboratory	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	14	44	N. Nithya

Signature of the Student *K. Mahalakshmi*

Date: 03.05.2023

Signature of the Hod

*V. Bindhu*



## FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name of the Department : BME

Semester : 04

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3- Average, 2- Fair, 1- Poor.

## Each Faculty Members Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Staff	Course	Teaching Effectiveness (Out of 20)				Maturity level (15)				Total (Out of 50)	Signature of faculty (After seeing the evaluation)
		Class room delivery whether by reading or interactive communication (use of analogies, examples, observations from surroundings, etc) (5)	Use of training aids like models, charts, video, animated computer graphics, presentations, effective board work, etc (5)	Involvement in internal assessment (whether casual or routine or involved marking with corrective remarks) (5)	Levels of preparedness (whether adequate prepared for class) (5)	Ease of Maintenance of order (without threats or punishments) (5)	Temperament (calmness, patience, irritability, etc) (5)	Intellectual stature commands respect of students by intellectual and maturity level (5)	Integrity (honest - 5 marks, Impartial - 5 marks, Fairness & Justice - 5 marks) (15)		
Mrs.K.Baghyalakshmi	Random Processes and Linear Algebra	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	15	46	Baaban
Mrs.A.Dhivya Parameswari	Biomedical Instrumentation	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	14	47	A.Dhivya
Mr.C.Karuppanasamy	Analog and Digital Integrated Circuits	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	14	38	C.K.
Ms.S.Sharmila	Bio Control Systems	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	13	45	S.
Mr.S.Dinesh	Signal Processing	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	12	43	S.Dinesh
Mrs.K Nivethitha	Environmental Sciences and Sustainability	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	15	46	K.Nivethitha
Mrs.A.Dhivya Parameswari	Biomedical Instrumentation Laboratory	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	14	47	A.Dhivya
Mr.C.Karuppanasamy	Analog and Digital Integrated Circuits Laboratory	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	15	44	C.K.

Signature of the Student Janani

Date: 03.05.2023

Signature of the Hod



# PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NH – 209, Sathy Road, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore – 641 035  
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

**UGC Recognized | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution**



**STUDENTS FILLED -IN FEEDBACK  
FORMS (SAMPLE) – CURRICULUM  
& INFRASTRUCTURES / FACILITIES**

# PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY - FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT'S FEEDBACK

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Student Name: \*

PRAVEEN KUMAR R

Register Number: \*

712520106307

Program Name: \*

- B.E. Agriculture Engineering
- B.Tech. Agricultural Engineering
- B.Tech Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
- B.Tech Information Technology
- B.E. Bio Medical Engineering
- B.E. Computer Science & Engineering
- B.E Mechanical Engineering
- B.E. Electronics & Communication Engineering
- M.E. Engineering Design
- M.E. VLSI

Semester \*

- 1st semester
- 2nd semester
- 3rd semester
- 4th semester
- 5th semester
- 6th semester
- 7th semester
- 8th semester

Feedback on Curriculum / Syllabus

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3- Average, 2- Fair, 1- Poor.

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

2. How do you rate the relevance of the units in syllabus relevant to the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

3. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

4. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

5. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic & Engineering Science, Humanities, Discipline core, Open Electives and Projects? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

6. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

7. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

8. How do you rate the relevance of the text books and reference books related to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

9. How do you rate the laboratory experiments in relation to the real world applications? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

10. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Feedback on "Ambience of the institution" (Academic Year : 2022 - 2023)

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

11. Facilities in Laboratory \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

12. Facilities in class room \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

13. Computer Facility \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor.

14. Ventilation in class room and Labs \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

15. Availability of Drinking water \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

16. Transportation facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

17. Toilet facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

18. Cleanliness in college and toilets \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

19. Availability of sports / Gym equipment's \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

20. Facilities in Hostel \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Any other Feed back / comments

Club and professional society activities to be encouraged.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

**PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY -  
FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT'S  
FEEDBACK**

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Student Name: \*

JENISH C

Register Number: \*

712519114006

Program Name: \*

- B.E. Agriculture Engineering
- B.Tech. Agricultural Engineering
- B.Tech Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
- B.Tech Information Technology
- B.E. Bio Medical Engineering
- B.E. Computer Science & Engineering
- B.E Mechanical Engineering
- B.E. Electronics & Communication Engineering
- M.E. Engineering Design
- M.E. VLSI

Semester \*

- 1st semester
- 2nd semester
- 3rd semester
- 4th semester
- 5th semester
- 6th semester
- 7th semester
- 8th semester

Feedback on Curriculum / Syllabus

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what \* you have studied in the previous semester?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

2. How do you rate the relevance of the units in syllabus relevant to the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

3. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization \* streams?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

4. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

5. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic & Engineering Science, Humanities, Discipline core, Open Electives and Projects? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

6. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

7. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

8. How do you rate the relevance of the text books and reference books related to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

9. How do you rate the laboratory experiments in relation to the real world applications? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

10. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Feedback on "Ambience of the institution" (Academic Year : 2022 - 2023)

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

11. Facilities in Laboratory \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

12. Facilities in class room \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

13. Computer Facility \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

14. Ventilation in class room and Labs \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

15. Availability of Drinking water \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

16. Transportation facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

17. Toilet facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

18. Cleanliness in college and toilets \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

19. Availability of sports / Gym equipment's \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

20. Facilities in Hostel \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Any other Feed back / comments

Need awareness about real time exposure about industries

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

**PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY -  
FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT'S  
FEEDBACK**

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Student Name: \*

DEEPAK RAJ R

Register Number: \*

712520121007

Program Name: \*

- B.E. Agriculture Engineering
- B.Tech. Agricultural Engineering
- B.Tech Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
- B.Tech Information Technology
- B.E. Bio Medical Engineering
- B.E. Computer Science & Engineering
- B.E Mechanical Engineering
- B.E. Electronics & Communication Engineering
- M.E. Engineering Design
- M.E. VLSI

Semester \*

- 1st semester
- 2nd semester
- 3rd semester
- 4th semester
- 5th semester
- 6th semester
- 7th semester
- 8th semester

Feedback on Curriculum / Syllabus

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what \* you have studied in the previous semester?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

2. How do you rate the relevance of the units in syllabus relevant to the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

3. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization \* streams?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

4. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T- \* P)?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

5. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic & Engineering Science, Humanities, Discipline core, Open Electives and Projects? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

6. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

7. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

8. How do you rate the relevance of the text books and reference books related to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

9. How do you rate the laboratory experiments in relation to the real world applications? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

10. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Feedback on "Ambience of the Institution" (Academic Year : 2022 - 2023)

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

11. Facilities in Laboratory \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

12. Facilities in class room \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

13. Computer Facility \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

14. Ventilation in class room and Labs \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

15. Availability of Drinking water \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

16. Transportation facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

17. Toilet facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

18. Cleanliness in college and toilets \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

19. Availability of sports / Gym equipment's \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

20. Facilities in Hostel \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Any other Feed back / comments

Hackathon to be organized

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY - FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT'S FEEDBACK

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Student Name: \*

KAVITHA R

Register Number: \*

712519108006

Program Name: \*

- B.E. Agriculture Engineering
- B.Tech. Agricultural Engineering
- B.Tech Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
- B.Tech Information Technology
- B.E. Bio Medical Engineering
- B.E. Computer Science & Engineering
- B.E Mechanical Engineering
- B.E. Electronics & Communication Engineering
- M.E. Engineering Design
- M.E. VLSI

Semester \*

- 1st semester
- 2nd semester
- 3rd semester
- 4th semester
- 5th semester
- 6th semester
- 7th semester
- 8th semester

Feedback on Curriculum / Syllabus

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what \* you have studied in the previous semester?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

2. How do you rate the relevance of the units in syllabus relevant to the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

3. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization \* streams?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

4. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T- \* P)?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

5. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic & Engineering Science, Humanities, Discipline core, Open Electives and Projects? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

6. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

7. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

8. How do you rate the relevance of the text books and reference books related to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

9. How do you rate the laboratory experiments in relation to the real world applications? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

10. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Feedback on "Ambience of the Institution" (Academic Year : 2022 - 2023)

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

11. Facilities in Laboratory \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

12. Facilities in class room \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

13. Computer Facility \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

14. Ventilation in class room and Labs \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

15. Availability of Drinking water \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

16. Transportation facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

17. Toilet facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

18. Cleanliness in college and toilets \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

19. Availability of sports / Gym equipment's \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

20. Facilities in Hostel \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Any other Feed back / comments

Training for skill improvement need to be improve

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

**PPG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY -  
FEEDBACK SYSTEM - STUDENT'S  
FEEDBACK**

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Student Name: \*

JEEVA B

Register Number: \*

712521225009

Program Name: \*

- B.E. Agriculture Engineering
- B.Tech. Agricultural Engineering
- B.Tech Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
- B.Tech Information Technology
- B.E. Bio Medical Engineering
- B.E. Computer Science & Engineering
- B.E Mechanical Engineering
- B.E. Electronics & Communication Engineering
- M.E. Engineering Design
- M.E. VLSI

Semester \*

- 1st semester
- 2nd semester
- 3rd semester
- 4th semester
- 5th semester
- 6th semester
- 7th semester
- 8th semester

Feedback on Curriculum / Syllabus

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what \* you have studied in the previous semester?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

2. How do you rate the relevance of the units in syllabus relevant to the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

3. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization \* streams?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

4. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T- \* P)?

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

5. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic & Engineering Science, Humanities, Discipline core, Open Electives and Projects? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

6. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

7. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

8. How do you rate the relevance of the text books and reference books related to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

9. How do you rate the laboratory experiments in relation to the real world applications? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

10. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses? \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Feedback on "Ambience of the institution" (Academic Year : 2022 - 2023)

Dear Student,

The purpose of the feedback is to obtain input on the quality of education. Please give your view to assess the quality of the courses in the academic program. Ratings are in 5-point scale. 5 - Excellent, 4 - Good, 3 - Average, 2 - Fair, 1 - Poor.

11. Facilities in Laboratory \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

12. Facilities in class room \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

13. Computer Facility \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

14. Ventilation in class room and Labs \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

15. Availability of Drinking water \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

16. Transportation facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

17. Toilet facilities \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

18. Cleanliness in college and toilets \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

19. Availability of sports / Gym equipment's \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

20. Facilities in Hostel \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Fair
- Poor

Any other Feed back / comments

Industrial Visit needed to improve knowledge

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms